



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Box AF
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

On January 13, 2003

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By:

JoAnn Evangelista

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

MILLER, ROSS

Application No.: 09/751,577

Filed: December 29, 2000

For: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR
TREATING TEETH

Examiner: JOHN J. WILSON

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 3732

Box AF

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The Office Action mailed August 20, 2002 rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews (4,591,341) in view of Bergersen (3,950,851), Kurz (4,348,178) and Andreiko et al. (5,454,717). The Office Action asserts that Andrews teaches bracket and wire system followed in series by using a mouthpiece, albeit not a mouthpiece made from a polymeric shell. To cure this deficiency, the Action relies on Bergersen which teaches forming mouthpieces as polymer shells. The Office Action further asserts that Kurz teaches using successive shells including intermediate appliances. The Office Action further notes that while the combination does not show digitally generating the appliances, Andreiko teaches digitally forming "appliances". Therefore, it would be obvious to

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE -
EXAMINING GROUP 3732

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: 018563-002700US
Client Ref. No.: AT-00092

1-27-03
#9/Keg
JAN 24 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R8700